ones supposed to ... You can't do what a janitor or a School 1 Principal says. They should know very good how to maintain the building or how to direct the school, but they do not know anything about technology. So you are the expert and you should install the equipment where it should be." But of course, that happened before I was there.

And before you got there, you were not aware of any directives, either memorandums or letters from the Department of Education to the Principals telling them to let the vendors put the equipment wherever the vendors thought was the best place to put them?

Α Unfortunately, I don't have access. If that ever happened, I don't have access to that.

You had never seen any such documents, right? 0

The first documents we had started... Α No. have access, started with Dr. Rey's Administration in that January of 2001.

And you are not aware of any verbal instructions given of that nature to the Principals of the schools?

Α No---

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To let the contractors put the equipment where the contractors thought was the best place?

I wasn't given at the Department of Education nothing at all.

0 I understand.

1 MR. CAMILO K. SALAS: Maybe we can stop here for lunch now. I am sure Mr. Bennazar will welcome a little 2 lunch? 3 4 MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Whatever you say. MR. CAMILO K. SALAS: Off the record. 5 OFF THE RECORD 6 7 After the recess, BY MR. CAMILO K. SALAS: 8 In an effort to sort of shorten this a little bit, 9 I suppose that everything that you put in your January 18, 10 2002 report is accurate as you know it today? 11 Α Yes, Sir. 12 So if I were to ask detailed questions about the 13 0 14 things that you have in there, you would agree with everything that you have in your report at this time? 15 More or less. In the most detail, it should be Α 16 Adonay's. 17 Let me ask you a little bit about this. In the 0 18 very top of page 4 it says: "We decided to perform a more 19 thorough evaluation including distinct schools and performing 20 communication tests. We contracted a private consultant to 21 perform the evaluation." That would have been Mr. Adonay 22 Ramirez? 23

Compugrafía, Inc. (787) 708-1821 708-1643

Α

0

24

25

Yes.

Okay.

A And as part of the other things that he was doing, he was also doing the evaluation.

Q And then it says: "Unfortunately, we had to wait until the new fiscal year due to a budget deficit." And then there is a footnote too that reads as follows: "The budget deficit that we inherited at the Department of Education of Puerto Rico was about \$205,000,000.00, which represents about 13% of our total budget. As a result, all contracts were frozen by the Governor." What I would like to ask you is, what contracts are you referring to there?

- A In that case?
- O Yes.

A The contracts of new hired people to perform jobs like Mr. Ramírez and the people that were ahead of me. I remember I came to the chair in June, so no other contracts were issued after the beginning of the next fiscal year in July.

- Q July of 2001?
- A July of 2001. In case of the people who came to help do us all these jobs.
- Q So anybody who was hired as of July stayed on, but no more hires after that?
- A Until the first day of July. Before that, no new people were hired unless they had some sort of a priority. A very high priority but that the Secretary thought it was a

need to hire.

- Q So you could hire from July 2001 going forward?
- A Ah-huh. In my case, I was hired in June.
- Q Okay, but Adonay Ramírez was hired---
- A In July.
- Q In July after the new year started?
- A Ah-huh.
- Q Now, continuing here at the bottom of page 4 it says: "It is important to notice that when we started the evaluation only 2 schools were connected to the Internet. As of today, 216 are connected, which represents a big improvement.
 - A Ah-huh.
- Q When you say 2 schools were connected to the Internet, are you talking about Phase 1 or Phase 2 schools?
- A I know they were 2 schools, but I don't know which phase they were. You have to check with the results of the job they did. They should have the details. I only have the executive summary of the figures, not the very details in terms to say "this school and this school."
- It's possible that I should have the information by word at that time, but I don't remember.
- Q When you say "When we started the evaluation" that refers us back to some time in June of 2001?
 - A In July. Remember that we started connecting

schools in July.

Q Okay. So, in July there were only 2 schools connected?

A That is the information that I had at the time. I think that, I am not sure, but it sounds to me something like Aguada or something like that was one of them and the other I don't remember. But it sounds familiar to me. The fact is that I do remember that somebody told me only 2 schools are connected.

Q That does not mean that somebody did something wrong or anything like that, right?

A Well, that means that there were no connections, even though I have the information from the suppliers that the schools were connected. No actual operational connection was on at that time. Remember I have been consistent in calling it "operational" when a student in a school can connect from at least 1 PC in the school to the Internet.

- Q So basically, when you said that only 2 schools were connected to the Internet, you are using that definition---
 - A That definition.
- Q With the definition being that a student can walk up to a terminal and log---
 - A At least one terminal in the school.
 - One terminal in the school and logon to the

computer?

A Ah-huh.

Q You are not saying here that when you said that only 2 schools were connected to the Internet, you are not saying that there were only 2 schools with good T-1 lines transmitting to the schools?

A No, I am just saying that as I define it, a teacher or a student can go to at least one computer within that school and access the Internet through the project.

Q Right, and I think we talked extensively about that in your prior deposition.

A Ah-huh.

Q All right. In this report of yours, I did not see any information concerning how many T-1 lines were operational at any particular time.

A Remember that this is an executive summary. There might be details, I don't know. But if there are details, Adonay Ramírez maybe knows. I don't have that detail.

Q Now, let's go to page 6 of that report, please. Excuse me. Before we do this, let's go to page 5. I am skipping something.

In the second to last paragraph it starts with the word "Vendors."

A Ah-huh.

Q It says: "Vendors were required to provide the

electrical and security infrastructure together with the necessary hardware, software and communication products.

Vendors were also required to communicate the laboratories with the school E-Rate funded network." You see that?

A Ah-huh.

Q All this section deals with the laboratories that the Department of Education eventually decided to acquire for the schools, right?

A Yes.

Q And as I read these 2 sentences that I just read for the record---

A And also there were other laboratories already at the schools.

Q I understand. But as I read these 2 sentences that I put in the record, the vendors of the laboratories were going to use the communication lines that already had been put in the schools with E-Rate funds?

A Yes.

Q And then let's go to the next page, to page 6.

Now, there is a list there of statistics indicating that the teachers in the various schools either had not been trained or they did not know how to use the computers or did not think that the computers were going to help them in their task of teaching students, etceteras, right?

A This is a survey conducted before I was at the

position and it was conducted I think, by the end of that
semester from January to May of 2001. It was conducted
before I was in the position, as I said, and this is the
result I got from there. That is why... These are the figures
we used as a base on to start moving on a new technology
training.

Q Now let's go to page 7. It is a section that deals with allocation of funds for computer software.

A Ah-huh.

Q It states here that as of January of 2002, no payments had been made for the contract with Microsoft for the software. Is that not true?

A Where are you located at?

Q Page 7.

A The one with allocation of funds for computer software?

O Yes, Sir.

A This should be... As of January of the report, that should be 2002.

Q Essentially, what I am trying to find out is the following.

A Ah-huh.

Q Mr. McDonald had indicated in his report that one of the concerns of USAC was that there was no software for the computers to use the Internet properly.

A Ah-huh.

7.7

Q And I don't know if it was you or Mr. Santana that told me that the Department of Education had entered into a contract with Microsoft to purchase computer software?

A Yes, \$25,000,000.00.

Q And as I read this report, as of January---

A Payments. But the software was delivered and was at the computers. But the payments for Microsoft were not issued at that time so I started paying for whatever was already deployed at the schools.

Q Do you know approximately when Microsoft provided the computer software to the students that were paid with these funds?

A I don't have the details. I think that Juan Carlos Sierra does.

O He should know?

A He has more information on that. He is the one in charge of the circulation of hardware and software at that time and I think he still is.

Q We have talked I think, for the past couple of hours over electricity and other problems that were found in the schools. Now let me show you this letter which is dated August 22nd of 2001 from Ricardo Reyes Soler of DRC to Mr. Adonay Ramírez and it shows that you received a copy of that letter.

A I think so.

MR. CAMILO K. SALAS: I want to mark this letter as Anibal Cruz's Exhibit Number 4.

(AT WHICH TIME DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 4 IS MARKED)
BY MR. CAMILO K. SALAS:

- Q You had a chance to review that letter?
- A Yes.
- Q Do you recall receiving that letter before?
- A Yes, Sir.
- Q Do you recall reading that letter before?
- A Yes, Sir.
 - Q Is there anything in that letter that you disagree with?

A Basically, no. As a matter of fact, you can see the content of the letter, which reflects the meetings we had with the School Principals, with the people in the projects and concerning all the items that were included in here and in other communications or information that we received in terms of what's going on in the schools.

That is why we started talking to the people and calling the people and bringing the Superintendents to the Central Level and these 2 meetings, one in Guayanilla and one in Carolina and sending the technicians as part of the groups that were visiting. I myself visited some of these schools to check out what is going on at the time.

Specifically I remember I was almost a weekend in 1 2 Ponce High with people from Telefónica and from DRC. So the situation that DRC is reporting in this 3 letter is consistent with the situation that the Department 4 of Education found in the system? 5 Yes, more or less. Let me add that in the other Α 6 hand, there were schools like Ponce High and others in where 7 there were no problems at all. In where we had plenty of 8 computers, connectivity, power and the corroboration of the 9 personnel at the school. We had a whole spectrum. 10 Let me move on to another area. Did you attend the Q 11 second meeting with USAC, which was April 26th, 2002? 12 Α Yes, Sir. 13 Do you remember who went to that meeting? 14 That meeting? I remember that Arnaldo was there, Α 15 Dr. Carmen Collazo and I think at that time Attorney Bennazar 16 was there, from the part of Puerto Rico. 17 0 Okay, and that was the executive summary that we 18 spoke about earlier? 19 Α Ah-huh. 20 It tells us exactly who was there. Q. 21 Ah-huh. 22 Α Is that right? O. 23 That is correct. Α 24 Was a copy of an indictment delivered to USAC at 25 O

that time?

- A I don't remember.
- Q Let me show you something to see if this would help you. These are the slides from the presentation that you used, right?
 - A It looks like.
- Q And page 2 of what has been Tab 4 of Exhibit 1, it says here: "Other documents sent, copy of indictment."
 - A If it is there, it should be.
 - Q What I wanted to know is who's indictment was it?
- A I don't remember but you can ask Arnaldo. He made the presentation in Washington.
- Q And what was the purpose of giving USAC a copy of the indictment? Whose ever indictment it was?

A We were very open with USAC and my approach was I wanted them to know exactly what's going on. So this approach includes delivering everything that was related to the project that could affect either go or against whatever the Government was doing in the project. So I made a request for all the people that were providing documents and support for the presentation to give me whatever piece of information that you think it might be necessary for them to know.

I remember that as soon as the end of September I was calling for a meeting with the USAC and FCC people and I called them to the table. I wanted to be very clear and very

up front and I wanted them to know everything that was going on.

There were some things that were not under my responsibility. Not even under the responsibility of this Administration. I think that the best thing I could do at the time is to gather all the information and bring it to USAC.

It was so much money, over \$160,000,000.00 in this project and schools were not connected and I didn't have all the answers. I don't even have all the questions, so I think that the best thing I can do is bring them to the table and tell them whatever.

Be true, bring them a proposal of how I, as the head of the System Information Office, think that I can manage and recover the whole system. Try to recover all the money that was put into it and give the best of my knowledge to have an idea at that point in time that from my point of view is reasonable to recover the whole thing. So according to that approach, it is possible that someone learned of the indictment and if they find it, they are going to write to me. I just let it go.

- Q But you don't remember whose indictment it was?
- A No. Remember I was out of the office almost a year now and that was just one of the presentations that we had.
 - Q Do you know if that was an indictment of a

contractor?

- A It is in the part of the contractor.
- O A what?
- A It is in the part that talks about contractors and if a yearly application was submitted, it should be related to that or on the page before some way of payment made to them.
- Q Do you know if it was Mr. Fajardo's indictment that was sent up there or brought up over there at the time?
 - A It could be, but I don't remember.
- Q Were there any reporting or transcriptions of the presentations that you made to USAC?
- A I don't remember if we... I am almost sure that we had a paper copy of the presentation, but I am not sure that we left any presentation in terms of a file. I think that the only thing we gave them at that time was the presentation done on the board and a hard copy.
- Q But the presentation itself was some written material that somebody was reading from?
- A As far as I remember, no. Arnaldo was presenting in front of a table from a board and he was talking and using the presentation as a highlight.
- Q Did he have any other materials that he was using for his presentation that you are aware of?
 - A No. The only thing is the whole package of

documents that were submitted to USAC, which USAC had already and the hard copy of the presentation.

Q On the next line on page 2, it says: "Agreement" for one vendor canceled." What does that refer to?

A I think it refers to the agreement that was with DRC.

Q First of all, why was "Agreement" in quotes?

A Agreement? Because as far as I remember, the agreement depends on the availability of funds and I am not a lawyer but for me, if funds are not available and still on the agreement and it comes into a full contract unpaid. At that time still, money was not available. So as far as I remember it said that this agreement depends on the availability of funds or something like that.

- O Of E-Rate funds?
- A Or something like that, yes.
- Q And for the year 5, I think we established that ---
- A Agreement. The money is still... As far as I know, the money is still owed.
- Q On hold. But the year 4 funding had been approved by USAC, right?
- A I think that you submit the form and they say that the form is approved but then they have to wait until they make the money available.
 - Q So you don't know precisely if---

A No. Remember I depended on the people I had to run these units.

Q I understand.

MR. CAMILO K. SALAS: Mr. Bennazar, would you be kind enough also to provide us all the exhibits that went with this presentation? There are exhibits 1 through 14 that we would like to see. There are other exhibits that go with the subsequent presentation of October that I want to ask you now, are also made available.

BY MR. CAMILO K. SALAS:

Q All right. So then, do you have any recollection of what else was explained to USAC concerning this "Agreement for one vendor being canceled"?

A I think that we talked something about one of the agreements was canceled and we were focusing on the project, the phase that had more chances of being viable according to the situation we had detected already at the schools.

- Q Meaning the Phase 1?
- A Phase 1, wired.
- Q But in the same presentation you told or who ever made the presentation told USAC that the Phase 2 schools were going to be put back in operation.

A Yes. But remember I told you that doing some sort of a merge, trying to save whatever investment was done. But according to the standards that make it viable in the schools

with the situations that we talked already, there were schools in where there were no problems with electricity and everything is all right. Okay, we can do it the way it is as soon as there is a line available to connect to the system.

- Q You mean electrical problem?
- A And the T-1s, also.
- Q Right.

A But if there is a school that you can't even have 2 notebooks at the same time because the whole system collapses, then we have to do it the other way.

Remember in the previous deposition I was telling you that was one of the reasons we were looking for the mobile labs that used the power supplies that a total bunch Of 26 computers can feed up from one single outlet.

O Electrical outlet?

A Electrical outlet. I remember also that by the last half of the semester I was at the Department, I made a request to PRT that I wanted a prototype in which you can show me which way you can recover Phase 2 to make it available to work as efficiently as Phase 1. They did. They prepared at least 3 prototypes. They were Ponce High, University Gardens and one school in Santurce.

Q In whatever school you had sufficient electrical power, you were going to continue to use the wireless system for the Phase 2 schools?

A If the wireless system can work. The thing is that

t was a system designed under the standards of DRC and at

that time I didn't know if Telefónica could afford to handle

I was asking them "what are you going to do about that?" They were the suppliers, they were the experts. They were supposed to give me the answers and they were working on that by the time I left.

Q You are talking about the prototype that Telefónica was going to do to redo the system?

A Whatever they had to do. Remember, I have the burden in me that there was such a huge amount of money in the project that the feds were asking me "hey Cruz, what the hell are you going to do with that?"

O With what?

the whole thing.

A With the project. It is not working and we kept going and giving them evidence of whatever we were doing.

They said "okay, you are doing good." "Keep on, show me what else."

I was trying to recover as much schools as I could. We put computers, we put labs, we put training, we got technicians, we ran more than the mile they were asking us to run. More than what was in the letter. I went far beyond than what they were requesting because I needed to prove to them, who are the people that were putting the money, that

the project was recoverable. After all, the whole education of this generation of Puerto Ricans depends on that.

So I was pushing real hard. It was by far, one of my most important projects. I needed to make this work and then to put the technology in terms of education, which is my business and of Microsoft and Compac and the Department of Education, so every technology we have there is in terms of how our kids are trained. So I had to be other than that, doing the part of the infrastructure, producing, that the training of the teachers and the education of the teachers went on and that the project that we were going to run over that system was designed and was tested. We were pushing real hard in that so I did a big push and believe me, I pushed hard.

On PRT, I need answers because half of my schools are Phase 2 and since by that time I was having more than 600 schools already connected, the next question I was expecting if I were the CEO at that time of the next visit from USAC is "okay, and now what are you going to do with Phase 2?"

So I was gathering all the information, all the possibilities to analyze and then go there and tell them "listen people, this is the way of actions I have had and we have already tested it and if you can make an advance on that and audit, be my guess. You can choose from any of these 3

schools. Go and test it." So I was pushing real hard.

- Q But by that time DRC's contracts had already been canceled?
 - A Yes, Sir.

- Q Now, going back to my original question. What, whoever made the presentation, tell USAC about the cancellation of DRC's contracts?
 - A I don't remember exactly...
 - Q If you think you need a substance, I realize that.
- A I am trying to go there, because I was not doing the presentation. The presentation was done by Arnaldo. I think that we talked about that, that we canceled one of the contracts to concentrate on the most viable of the projects, which was by the evidence that I had at the time, the wired.
- Q So it was represented to USAC that the reason for the cancellation of DRC's contracts was for the Department of Education to be able to focus it's efforts on the Phase 1 schools?
- A That was all about what we were talking. How the Department of Education improved, that the Department of Education can handle the project and recover it and make it successful. If not, money had to be returned.
- Q Now, going through page 12 of this same document, in this slide it says "Pending issues recovery of Phase 2 schools." Who is going to do that?

279 1 Α I think that that part was the back bone. 2 remember if it was part of DRC's contract agreement or if it is going to be performed by PRT. I am not clear. Well, DRC's contracts had been canceled, right? 4 5 Α Anyway, when it was designed and submitted through 6 Form 470 I am not sure if by that time I then submitted the 7 first agreement was with DRC or PRT but anyway, it has to be done because it was part of the project. Either by DRC 8

before the cancellation or PRT before the cancellation.

time after the cancellation, it had to be PRTC.

don't have it clear who was in charge of that. And at that

O Why?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Whoever inherited contract for year number 4 had to Α do it.

- Has it been done yet? 0
- Α No, Sir.
- And---
- Or until I was out. Remember I can talk about this Α until the day I left the office.
 - And it wasn't done because of lack of funds? 0
- Α Well, there were no funds available and I can't ask any one of the suppliers to or force them to do something for which I don't have the money to pay for.
 - Well, you kept PRTC even without payment ---0
 - Pushing on answers. I want you to give me an Α

answer but just to give me an answer doesn't work. I have been tired of everybody saying it can be done and just show me your money. Make a prototype. Show me that it does work because I have had so many statements of things that work that didn't, that I don't believe in anybody.

Just show me. If it works, I want to see it working because I am going to be spending my word on this with USAC and the FCC people and the only thing I had was 3 people from the high lands, people from the mountain, to show us how it works and I got them.

Q Let me go back to the page 3 of the same document. The top slide talks about the evaluation for all the schools that had been performed, it says, last February. That would have been the year before or the same year?

A The year is 2002.

Q All right, February of 2002. There was another evaluation performed?

A Yes. We started this effort by I think by the end of September. I was very upset and disappointed because what ever was told to me at the time, was not as it was told.

I was having this kind of a project going on and there were questions made by the Secretary telling me "okay, tell me how are the projects going on?" And I had a lot of questions and no answers. So at that time when we tried with the first sample of 100 schools and we saw that things were

not good as I was told, I talked to Bennett Diaz of OGP that I needed to make an effort and I didn't have the people to sweep the whole Island in a single week. So I proposed to him why don't we get resources from all of the government agencies? We train them in a one day training. We give them all of the tools they need to get the information and then in one week sweep the whole Island from Cabo Rojo and Aguadilla to Vieques and Culebras. They have a real idea or closer idea of what's going on. At that time I was telling that I wanted to get USAC and FCC to the table.

Q Okay, so that refers to the evaluation that was done in September of 2001 and it went on through February of 2002?

A No. We started with the initiative by end of September but had to get permissions for all the people, check whatever in Human Resources in terms of mileage and permissions to travel and motor pool and a lot of things that had to be set up before it was done.

When we were ready, that was by the beginning of the year 2002, then at the time I had identified some 300 people but when we went to the real "nitty gritty" only 200 were able to get the training and be able to go to the field. So we finally did it in last February.

- Q So that would be February of 2002?
- A Yes.

So just to establish, we have spoken with I think 1 2 you and many other witnesses about this visit made to various schools by people from the different agencies of the 3 government. 5 Α Ah-huh. And I think this helped us put the date on that, 6 0 7 which according to this document it was in February of 2002. Α Correct. 8 And that would have been after DRC's contracts had 9 10 already been canceled? Α I think so. 11 Now, these documents also tell us that there were 0 12 100 people involved, right? 13 Α One hundred groups of 2 technicians. 14 And each group visited a certain number of schools? 15 0 They were assigned 16 schools. Α 16 Sixteen schools? 0 17 Assuming that they can visit 4 schools per day, 4 18 days in a week. Some did, some even took more, some didn't 19 object that much. 20 Okay. Now, do we know if these were the Phase 1 21 schools or Phase 2 schools? 22 All schools that were available. Α 23

Compugrafía, Inc. (787) 708-1821 708-1643

24

25

0

A

Well if everyone went to 100 schools---

No, it says 100 groups of 2 technicians that were

going to visit 16 schools per group. That makes 1,600 schools.

Q Okay, so all of the schools that--A All of the schools that were available, including

- Q And their findings are below on the next---
- A The 3 sided strategy center? No.
- Q If this preliminary finding is confirmed, what---
- A The first we had in the 100 schools sample we had were confirmed then for the population.
- Q I think I skipped something. Let me back up to the prior slide. It says "Data collected from 1,184 schools, 77%.
 - A Ah-huh.

Vieques and Culebra.

- Q "Data from 25 additional schools was received later." So that would be the number of schools that were actually visited by the 100 teams?
 - A At the time of this report, yes.
- Q Preliminary findings confirmed that this would be a list of what the teams found?
 - A Confirmed.
- Q They found one, service and communication equipment installed in inappropriate places, 25%.
 - A Ah-huh.
 - Q Now, during your deposition earlier today and last

time we talked about the fact that maybe some equipment was 1 2 close to windows and things of that nature, right? Α 3 Yes. 0 Is that what this is referring to? 5 Α Inappropriate places, yes. Mis-located. 6 So we know now that only in 24% of the schools was 7 the equipment in the wrong place. Α Of the schools visited, yes. 8 Now, do we know whether those schools, that 24% of 9 the schools, were Phase 1 or Phase 2 schools? 10 I don't have the facts. Maybe Adonay has it. 11 12 just have the greater findings in the summary. In the next item it says "Inappropriate electrical Q. 13 connections, 48%. 14 Α Ah-huh. 15 Does that tell us that of the schools that were 16 visited, 48% of them did not have adequate electrical 17 connections to support the computer equipment that had been 18 installed? 19 Α You are right. 20 Next it says "Inappropriate security, 40.5%. 21 that tell us that from the schools that were visited, 40.5% 22 did not have appropriate security? 23 Α You are right. 24

Compugrafía, Inc. (787) 708-1821 708-1643

0

25

And what kind of security are we talking about?

1.3

A That was stated by Adonay's equipment, but I think it included locks in the doors, included I think ironworks in the windows. I think that is the kind of security they were looking for.

Q Do you know if DRC was required to provide that kind of security?

A As far as I remember, no.

Q And going back to the electrical connections, that is something that you tried to get from those 2 governmental agencies that I forgot their names?

MR. JOHN F. NEVARES: OMEP and Public Buildings. BY MR. CAMILO K. SALAS:

- Q OMEP and the Public Buildings, right?
- A Ah-huh.
- O Yes?

A Well, but you have to go deeper into that. An inappropriate connection might be that the school doesn't have the capability in terms of they don't have a transformer or they don't have the appropriate power or it can be that the school has the appropriate power but the place where the equipment was located did not. So you have to go to the specific schools and see the details on what they meant by that.

Q I understand that. All right. The next item there was that there was not adequate ventilation in the cabinets

in 38% of the schools visited.

A Ah-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q That is what you were telling us earlier that there were some cabinets and that the equipment was getting hot?
 - A Ah-huh.
 - Q Yes?
 - A Yes, that is right. I think so.
- Q All right. Are you able to tell us from this document whether those were Phase 1 or Phase 2 schools?
 - A For me, it looks like they are Phase 1.
- Q Phase 1, okay. And then the next item says "Lack of furniture to place the equipment."
 - A Ah-huh.
 - Q So that says what it says?
 - A Yes, that's what it says. Explains by itself.
- Q DRC was not supposed to provide furniture for the equipment, was it?
 - A No, Sir.
- Q Next item it says "Very little knowledge regarding the benefits of the project." And I think you spoke about that early today about the teachers not being involved and not knowing and not getting information from Headquarters, so to speak, to tell them what was going on?
 - A Ah-huh.
 - Q Right? That is what this refers to?

A Ah-huh.

Q And then it says on the next item "School Directors not involved in the project." And that is the Principals in the schools were not involved in it?

A Ah-huh.

Q And I think that goes hand in hand to what also you said earlier that the Principals had to be involved so that they participated in it, right?

A But the thing is that at that time you had to check with this lack of involvement by part of the school Principals. If it's because they don't have the information or it is that once they have the information, they don't want to get involved and that could happen. But bottom line, they were not involved.

Q Let's go to page 4 now. The next slide says "Seek accountability from vendors, January 15, in 2 months we went from 2 schools to 216." And I think you explained that earlier.

A Ah-huh.

Q That when you first got there, there were only 2 schools on then you hooked up 216. What I am interested in knowing is in the next line it says "In the following month, we went from 216 to less than 100." And then there is a soft bullet there "One Internet access contract canceled."

Would you explain that to me?

A Okay. That means that 116 schools were out and not connected at that time and that was after one of the contracts was canceled.

Q That was DRC's contract?

A For me, that's the only one I know that was canceled at that time.

Q So basically, you had 2 schools connected then you went up to 216 and then when you canceled DRC, well of course, that took out 116 schools that were on line.

- A Ah-huh.
- Q Which left you with only 100 connected.
- A Ah-huh.

Q If the effort was to get the schools connected, why would you want to cancel DRC's contracts and end only with 100 schools connected?

A Well, apart from what that says, is that even though they were only 100 up and running, there were also almost built-up because in the next month we go from 100 to over 600 because all the construction and check of the wired system that does not depend on electricity was almost done at the time.

- O In what schools, in Phase 1 or Phase 2?
- A Phase 1.
- Q Phase 1 schools. It says "But then back to 318 plus schools communicated and accessing the Internet." Only